

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/675,435	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HASEGAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin M. Bernatz	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

 (2) Tony Curtis.
**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 November 2005
**Time:** am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the Examiner indicated that amendment to include the language in the attached Examiner's amendment would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)